

Merit Analysis for top 45 Global Minor Use Priorities Summer 2020

Use 1 form per crop/pest priority

(To be conducted by a committee of global proponents for the priority)

Tropical					
Mango, <i>Xanthomonas</i>, 27					
Adriana Castañeda, Colombia, 2018adriana colombia@gmail.com					
Criteria*	Points				
1. Is the crop-pest combination a situation with no available products? <i>2 points</i>					2
	Solution 1				
2. Are there potential solutions?	Ecoswing Swinglea glutinata extract	Manogreen	Lactobacillus		
3. Company name	Gowan USA	University of Pretoria	University of Pretoria		
4. Company contact name and e-mail	Virginia Daly <vdaly@gowanco.com	Lise Korsten <lise.korsten@up.ac.za	Lise Korsten <lise.korsten@up.ac.za		
5. Level of registrant support globally – list of countries registrant is willing to supply GLP test substance, standards and pursue a label (A)	USA	SA, Reunion, Israel,	SA, Reunion, Israel,		
6. List of countries having field and analytical ability and willing to conduct trials (B)	USA South Africa Reunion Islands	Australia, Israel, SA, Brazil, USA, Reunion India	Australia, Israel, SA, Brazil, USA, Reunion India		
<i>7. Insert 1 point for each match between countries that registrant supports, and countries willing (A + B)</i>	1	3	3		
8. Is efficacy already established against the target pest or can it be bridged via rationale from other labeled uses? <i>Insert 1point</i>	1	1	1		
9. Are there any residue data already available for the crop/pest combination and if so, from where?	Product is exempt from residues	Product is exempt from residues	Product is exempt from residues		
10. Are project champions identified?(Insert names) <i>Insert 1point</i>	Crane,Jonathan H (jhcr@ufl.edu)"	Crane,Jonathan H (jhcr@ufl.edu)"	Crane,Jonathan H (jhcr@ufl.edu)"		

11. Will a uniform GAP (rate, application pattern, PHI, formulation, premix be able to be established across all countries? <i>Yes = Insert 1point ; No = 0</i>	1	1	1		
12. Does the product replace old technology with reduced risk technology? <i>(1 point per old product replaced with reduced risk defined as a more favorable environmental or human health risk assessment)</i>	1	1	1		
13. Does the potential solution fit into IPM systems, i.e. low risk to beneficials <i>Insert 1point</i>	1	1	1		
14. Does the project complement current technologies to address pesticide resistance and/or control resistant pest/disease/weed or provide an alternative mode of action? <i>Insert 1point</i>	1	1	1		
15. Are there any crop grouping MRL opportunities? <i>(1 point per crop group)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A		

<p>16. Comments</p> <p>(Please use this space to make a memo of any other information that might be points of consideration such as JMPR cycle, CODEX, EPA, EU registration/MRL status, ability of a product to control multiple pest priorities, can be used across multiple crops, one formulation or premix combination used in one part of the world, regulatory needs, etc.</p> <p>No specific points, but useful information</p>				
TOTAL POINTS	7	9	9	
GRAND TOTAL				27

*if not specified otherwise in the 'criteria' box, assign 1 point per solution in gray boxes only.